Performance comparison
MP1-MP5 for different operating models. Median values over 20-year projection (2020-2040).*
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**Summary of Results**
Management procedure 3 (MP3) performs best, scoring well for all 6 performance metrics across the 12 operating models over the 20-year projection period. MP2 also scores highly. MP1 and MP4 perform well for the short-term catch metric at the sacrifice of population health.

**Reading this chart**
This chart compares performance of 6 performance metrics in 5 management procedures (MP) for a set of 12 operating models (columns). Each value is a median over a 20-year projection period.

The hexagon edges in each chart connect individual scores for the performance metrics in each management procedure. Points closer to the exterior edge indicate better performance.

The percentages represent an average score of all performance metrics in each management procedure. It provides a quick comparison of overall MP performances. Filled hexagons with larger areas indicate better overall performance.

**Performance metrics measured**
- Blim means the stock biomass is above the limit reference point (indicator of abundance).
- pGreen gives the probability that the stock is not overfished or subject to overfishing (indicator of fishery status).
- Interannual variation in yield gives the percent change in catch from year to year (indicator of stability).
- Catch after 3 years - short term gives the short-term catch (indicator of yield).
- Catch after 30 years - long term gives the long-term catch (indicator of yield).
- Net revenue gives the annual fishery profits (indicator of abundance).

**Operating model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating model</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This chart shows a median across time, but it can also be used to show the results at the end of the projection period.*